

## COLLECTING AND BURNING NONCONDENSIBLE GASES

---

L. Paul Johnson, P.E.  
A. H. Lundberg Associates, Inc.

Ben Lin, P.Eng.  
A. H. Lundberg Systems Ltd.

### Introduction

Kraft pulp mills are typically characterized by a distinct foul odor. This odor is caused by sulfur compounds, referred to as Total Reduced Sulfur (TRS). These gases are generated in Kraft pulping process. TRS can also be generated in direct contact evaporators, in recovery boilers, and in lime kilns. There are four constituents of TRS gases: hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ), methyl mercaptan ( $CH_3SH$ ), dimethyl sulfide ( $CH_3SCH_3$ ), and dimethyl disulfide ( $CH_3SSCH_3$ ).

TRS gases are emitted from several processes in the Kraft pulp mill. The highest concentrations come from the digesters, evaporators, turpentine system and condensate stripping system. Lesser concentrations are emitted from the brown stock washers, condensate tanks and liquor storage tanks. The vent gases are collectively referred to as Noncondensable Gases (NCG).

The first attempts to contain these odorous gases were in the late 1950's. Initially systems collected and transported all forms of NCG in a single pipeline with a fan as motivation. Additional ambient air was added to ensure the TRS concentrations were below the lower explosive limits. This was not always successful, especially with concentrated gases coming from digesters and evaporators. The results were that many early systems experienced fires or explosions.

In the early 1970's advances were made to keep the NCG undiluted. With the smaller gas volumes steam ejectors could be used to motivate the NCG. These systems virtually eliminated explosions in NCG systems and became the precursor for the present day accepted method for handling NCG.

NCG also contains other pollutants, such as turpentine and methanol. These gases are classified as Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). Environmental Regulations also require the collection and destruction of HAPs.

The steam ejector-based NCG systems have been so successful and reliable that the Environmental Regulations in the United States require all Kraft mills to have NCG systems and to operate them at 99% uptime.

## NCG Composition

NCG can be separated into three categories depending upon the composition. These are:

- Low Volume High Concentration (LVHC) NCG, also known as Concentrated NCG (CNCG)
- High Volume Low Concentration (HVLC) NCG, also known as Dilute NCG (DNCG)
- Stripper Off-Gases (SOG)

Chip bin gases (CBG) from the continuous digester are a hybrid category. They are typically collected with the HVLC NCG, but require special handling due to high TRS concentration excursions.

Typical LVHC NCG concentrations are shown in Table 1. These gases come from batch digester blow heat recovery systems, turpentine recovery systems, continuous digester flash steam condensers and evaporator vacuum systems. The actual composition will vary widely from system to system dependent on a number of variables. From time to time the composition will vary within the same system.

**Table 1. Typical LVHC NCG Analysis**

SOURCE	%TRS (VOL)			%O <sub>2</sub> (VOL)		
	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max
Batch Digester	20	50	70	0.5	2.0	5.0
Continuous Digester	12	60	80	1.0	3.0	10.0
Turpentine (Batch)	0.1	2.0	10	4.0	12.0	20.0
Evaporator	1.0	60	70	1.0	5.0	8.0
Combined	10	50	65	2.0	3.0	6.0

It should be noted that in LVHC NCG, the TRS gases and HAPs make up approximately 50% of the volume. The largest component of NCG is air that has been depleted of 50% or more of its oxygen.

The main source of air in a LVHC NCG system is leaks. Some dissolved air is also released from the white and black liquor. The oxygen is depleted by reacting with reducing agents, such as Na<sub>2</sub>S, in the liquors that it contacts. The data shown in Table 1 are for a "tight" system.

## NCG PROPERTIES

### Corrosivity

All forms of NCG, but particularly LVHC NCG, are highly corrosive to carbon steel. Normally the NCG is saturated with water vapor resulting in condensation within the collection system. Some of the TRS gases, especially H<sub>2</sub>S and CH<sub>3</sub>SH are acidic, and will absorb in this condensate. The combination of this acidic condensate and the oxygen present in the NCG can be very corrosive to carbon steel. Thus carbon steel must be avoided in NCG collection systems.

The methanol and turpentine present in the NCG, along with other minor constituents, are very strong solvents that can dissolve or soften plastics or resin in Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic (FRP) piping. Therefore plastics or FRP should also be avoided in NCG collection systems. Furthermore FRP could easily fail during a fire started by NCG ignition.

Either 304 or 316 series stainless steel has proven to be resistant to NCG corrosion. These are the preferred materials of construction for NCG systems.

## Toxicity

NCG is highly toxic and has been responsible for deaths and injuries in the pulp and paper industry. The toxicity of hydrogen sulfide is well known. At 20 ppm it causes irritation of the eyes and respiratory tract. Thirty minutes of exposure at 500 ppm causes severe sickness. Exposure at 1000 ppm for 30 minutes is fatal. The other components of NCG are similarly toxic. TRS concentration in a LVHC NCG system is commonly 100,000 ppm or greater.

Great care must be taken in the design and construction of systems for handling NCG gases due to their toxicity. Gas leaks, especially in enclosed areas, must be avoided. Venting must be controlled such that when there is an upset condition the gases are released in a safe manner well away from personnel. Vent stacks should be as high as reasonably possible and clear of any buildings, platforms and ladders where personnel may travel as well as clear of any ventilation air intakes.

## Explosivity

TRS, methanol and turpentine are flammable in the presence of sufficient oxygen. If contained in a pipeline or vessel they can also be explosive. Table 2 shows the combustion properties of the main components of NCG.

**Table 2: Combustion Properties of NCG in Air**


EXPLOSIVE LIMITS				
	Lower (% Vol)	Upper (% Vol)	Flame Speed ft/sec (m/sec)	Auto-Ignition Temp °F (°C)
H <sub>2</sub> S	4.3	45.0		500 (260)
CH <sub>3</sub> SH	3.9	21.8	1.8 (0.55)	
CH <sub>3</sub> SCH <sub>3</sub>	2.2	19.7		400 (206)
CH <sub>3</sub> SSCH <sub>3</sub>	1.1	8.0		572 (300)
Alpha-pinene	0.8	6.0	500 (154)?	487 (253)
Methanol	6.7	36.5	1.5 (0.50)	867 (464)

The Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) is the lowest concentration of gas (by percent volume) that will burn when mixed with air. At concentrations lower than this level there is insufficient gas to sustain combustion.

Similarly, the Upper Explosive Limit (UEL) is the highest concentration of gas that will burn when mixed with air. At concentrations higher than this level there is insufficient oxygen to sustain combustion.

Unfortunately, the terms LEL and UEL only explain the situation in theoretical terms. In reality, as emitted, TRS gases are mixed with air that has most of its oxygen depleted. As such, the gases are still not explosive at these points. Figure 1 illustrates this situation.

This figure is based on test data and the assumption that mixed TRS gases, which also contain other combustibles such as MeOH and turpentine, are flammable over the range of 2% to 50% for all combustibles. The exact shape of this curve has not been determined, and will vary depending on the TRS components present.



**Figure 1.** *Explosive Range of NCG*

Point A on Figure 1 is pure air with 21% oxygen, 0% combustible. Point B is pure combustible with 0% oxygen. The two points C and D on Line AB represent the LEL and UEL respectively.

The shaded area under Line CD represents the range of gases that are explosive. For example, Point E represents a typical LVHC NCG mixture that is well outside the explosive range. However, as air is added, the mixture moves along Line AE towards Point A. At some point the gases will enter the explosive range.

In the past, attempts were made to reduce LVHC NCG concentrations below the explosive limit by diluting by a factor of 20 to 1 with air. As a result the mixture ended up at Point F, which is outside the explosive range. However, if the system was under-designed, or if an upset occurred which reduced this dilution ratio, the gases would end up in the explosive range. Once at an explosive concentration an explosion could occur.

Another design concern with NCG is the flame propagation speed. This is a measure of how fast the flame will travel through the pipeline or vessel once a fire is started. See Table II lists velocities for the various constituents.

The flame propagation speed for sulfur gases is relatively slow. The flame propagation speed for turpentine is presently being debated. Some experts claim the value reported in Table II for turpentine is much too high. Regardless, TRS explosions are usually minor with minimum damage. Explosions caused by turpentine can be catastrophic.

It should be noted that the flame propagation speeds listed in Table II are based on pure compounds in pure air.

It should also be noted that while NCG systems are designed to handle the flame propagation speed of TRS. It is not practical to design against the flame propagation speed listed for turpentine. For this reason it is important to minimize the quantity of turpentine entering the NCG system.

## Ignition Sources

Three things must be present before an explosion can occur. The first two, namely a combustible material (TRS) and sufficient oxygen, have already been discussed. The final item is an ignition source.

Fans have often provided the ignition source for NCG system fires. This could be from static sparks, hot spots on the casing if rubbed by the impeller, by a hot impeller shaft due to a bearing failure, or by sparks created by foreign material hitting the impeller.

Another potential cause of fire is welding. Lines and vessels containing NCG should be clearly labeled so that they are not accidentally cut or welded upon.

If welding is necessary, all lines or vessels thought to contain NCG should be thoroughly purged and then checked for combustibles before welding is permitted. Care must also be taken to ensure that welding sparks are not drawn in through vacuum relief devices.

As TRS is known to adsorb onto, and then desorb from metal walls, welding should be done immediately after purging and testing for combustibles.

Static electricity can also provide a spark to ignite NCG. All lines and vessels containing NCG must be properly grounded. Otherwise, a static charge may build up, resulting in a spark or static discharge. Several explosions have been traced to this mechanism.

Turpentine can provide a second mechanism for static discharge. If turpentine vapor enters an NCG system, such as during loss of water flow to a turpentine condenser, then some of that turpentine will condense in the piping along with the water vapor also present.

As water and turpentine are immiscible, they will separate in the pipeline. If the interface between the two immiscible liquids is subjected to a shear force, the friction between the two liquids can generate a static spark that can ignite the turpentine.

Such a shear force can occur if these liquids enter a fan or cascade from a horizontal pipe run down a vertical pipe run. Several explosions have been blamed on this mechanism.

## LVHC NCG Systems

LVHC NCG comes from both continuous and batch sources. Continuous sources are continuous digesters, turpentine recovery systems, multiple effect evaporators and foul condensate storage tanks. Batch sources include batch digester blow heat recovery systems.

The NCG volumes to be collected vary greatly from mill to mill, and from time to time within each mill. Table III shows the expected ranges of LVHC NCG flow from various sources. It is always best to design on actual test data. If this is not available, then conservative values should be used. Line sizes should be chosen to give a low pressure drop at peak flow conditions. If in doubt as to line size, go larger in the collection lines and smaller for the lines near the incineration points.

**Table 3. Concentrated NCG Volumes**

SOURCE	FT <sup>3</sup> /TON PULP	M <sup>3</sup> /TONNE PULP
Batch Digester	100 - 200	2.6 - 5.2
Continuous Digester	150 - 300	3.9 - 7.7
Turpentine System (Batch)	40 - 80	1.0 - 2.0
Evaporators	50 - 200	1.3 - 5.2

**Note:** Volumes are actual at 60 °C and saturated with H<sub>2</sub>O.

Collected NCG are typically at 60 °C (140 °F) or cooler. If the gases are hotter then consideration should be given to cooling them before transporting them to reduce volume, turpentine content, and moisture content.

Referring to Figure 1, LVHC NCG at Point E are outside the explosive range due to lack of oxygen. Therefore, the system should be designed to prevent ingress of air into the system. This is done by sealing all parts of the system to make them airtight.

However, a sealed system can be exposed to high pressure or vacuum under upset conditions. Most storage tanks or evaporator hotwells are not designed to withstand pressure or vacuum. Therefore they must be protected by both pressure and vacuum relieving devices in order to prevent damage to the vessel during upset conditions.

Keeping the NCG outside the explosive range will insure a safe system at most times. However, during upset conditions, especially during start-ups and shutdowns, it is possible for air to enter the system and create a potentially explosive mixture.

For this reason it is necessary to eliminate all possible ignition sources during the design and operation of the system. Thus steam ejectors are preferred over fans to motivate LVHC NCG.

The vacuum that an ejector pulls varies inversely with gas flow. Thus it is possible to pull a high vacuum under low flow conditions. This may result in a vacuum breaker opening and allowing air into the system. A pressure control valve is used on the ejector suction to overcome this problem.

Steam ejectors have additional advantages. It is possible to size the ejector and the piping downstream of the ejector such that the steam flow to the ejector ensures a line velocity greater than the flame propagation speed of TRS gases, even under low NCG flow conditions.

It should be noted again that it is impractical to design against the flame propagation speed of turpentine. However, steam is an inert gas, and it is possible to design the ejector such that the steam flow will help dilute the NCG to a point outside the explosive range.

Referring once again to Figure 1, if for some reason the NCG coming to the ejector is in the explosive range represented by the darkened triangle, the steam flow may dilute the resulting steam - NCG mixture to Point J. This puts the NCG back out of the explosive range.

To maintain the high NCG line velocity and the steam as an inert gas, it is imperative to keep the steam in the system from condensing. This requires that all steam lines, and all NCG lines after the ejector, be properly insulated to prevent steam condensation.


If a condenser or scrubber is used after the ejector, and the steam does condense, then some other means of ensuring line velocity and dilution should be provided. If not, there is the potential for the NCG to burn back into the transport line whenever the gases get into the explosive range.

Even if care is taken to keep the gases outside the explosive range, and care is taken to remove ignition sources, there is still a remote possibility that a fire may occur. To minimize any potential damage flame arresters should be installed at critical points. They are designed prevent the spread of a fire and to minimize pipeline and equipment damage. Typically flame arresters should be placed at each LVHC NCG source and at each incineration point.

To prevent damage from an explosion in the NCG line, line size rupture discs are installed. Typically, rupture discs have been placed at each LVHC NCG source and near the incineration points. Similar to the placement of NCG vents, care must be used on the placement of rupture discs to ensure that the gases are vented in a safe location if a disc ruptures.

The collection of NCG from continuous sources is relatively straightforward. See Figure 2. The vessels from which the gases are being collected must be kept airtight and properly sealed. Each vessel should be adequately protected from pressure and vacuum and protected by a rupture disc and a flame arrester.

Special attention must be given to overflow lines on these vessels. To avoid the ingress of air they must be sealed. The seal must be sufficient to ensure that pressure or vacuum excursions are relieved through the pressure/ vacuum breaker rather than through the overflow line.




**Figure 2.** NCG Collection - Continuous Source

Additional care must be taken with the collection of NCG from batch digesters. Between blows there is virtually no NCG flow. At the start of a blow there is a momentary high flow. As the blow progresses, this flow decreases until it reaches zero at the end of the blow. In many cases, there is another small peak NCG flow at the end of the blow when the digester blows clean.

In the past, one method to smooth these variations in flow was the use of a gasholder of either a diaphragm or an inverted-bell type. These gasholders were expensive to build, dangerous to operate and difficult to maintain. Gasholders are not used in modern NCG systems.

Batch digester blow heat recovery systems should be operated under positive pressure at all times, to prevent ingress of air. If air gets into the accumulator and condensers, it becomes part of the NCG to be collected. This results in a very high NCG flow and a decrease in condenser efficiency at the start of the blow, invariably leading to venting of steam and NCG to the atmosphere. Also, the addition of oxygen into the LVHC NCG system may create a mixture that is in the explosive concentration range.



**Figure 3.** NCG Collection - Batch Gases

Positive pressure is maintained in the system by use of pressure control between the primary and secondary condensers. Padding steam is also added between blows to the accumulator, blow tank or condensers to maintain a slight positive pressure. See Figure 3 for the arrangement.

It is essential that the blow heat recovery system be properly sized and operated. If this is not done, the very best designed LVHC NCG system will not be capable of effectively collecting NCG from the blow heat recovery system. Furthermore, it most likely will result in excessive oxygen being added to the LVHC NCG system.

Pressure relief is also required at the blow heat recovery system NCG source. This will prevent large quantities of steam from entering the NCG system and overloading the ejector system if the blow heat recovery condensers fail to perform.

In some systems batch gases are kept separate from continuous NCG sources until downstream of the ejectors. This does not help collect from an improperly designed blow heat recovery system. This adds expense and complication to the NCG system and therefore is not recommended. In a properly designed system a single ejector can be used and gases are combined ahead of the ejector.

Figure 4 shows a concentrated NCG transport system.

### Piping Design and Layout

Consideration in the design of NCG systems must be given to the condensates that form in the lines. The NCG are normally saturated with water vapor and some water will condense in the lines. Therefore, it is necessary to slope the lines so that the condensate formed does not build up and block the flow of NCG through the line.




Figure 4. LVHC NCG Transport

Low point drains and separators are also required. The condensates formed are very foul and should be collected and treated. They can contain turpentine, which can accumulate and separate into a layer above the condensate.

Special attention also needs to be paid to the layout and positioning of block valves at the incineration point on both the NCG lines and the steam purge lines. Any condensate that collects behind these valves will be injected into the incineration device when the valve is opened. This condensate will be instantly vaporized and could snuff out a kiln or incinerator flame, causing a vent to the atmosphere. In a rarer, but more extreme

case, it could cause a minor explosion, damaging the equipment.

Attention must also be paid to the condensate collection system. It must be designed so that it can never be pressurized. If it does become pressurized, condensate can be forced back up the collection lines, and into the incineration device, possibly leading to an explosion.

The motivating steam ejector should be as close to the point of incineration as possible. This results in a majority of the system operating under vacuum. Thus if a leak does occur, it does not result in the release of NCG and the possible gassing of personnel.

A separator or mist eliminator is located after the ejector to remove any water droplets and condensate before the gases are injected into the incineration point.

The NCG then goes through a final flame arrester and into the kiln, boiler or incinerator for destruction. If the kiln, boiler or incinerator are not available for incineration, the gases should be safely vented upstream of the incineration point.

The final consideration is the vent lines. From time to time it will be necessary to vent the gases to the atmosphere. All vent lines should be made as high as practically possible and should release the gases straight up and away from buildings and platforms.

## **NCG Scrubbing**

Some mills have found it advantageous to scrub the TRS from the NCG before the gases are burned. Scrubbing the TRS may be necessary to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions if the NCG are burned in a boiler, or an incinerator. In mills where NCG are burned in lime kilns, the additional sulfur may contribute to ring formation in the kiln. Scrubbing the NCG may minimize this problem.

The scrubbing medium is white liquor or caustic solution. The ionizable sulfur gases, H<sub>2</sub>S and CH<sub>3</sub>SH, are easily and almost totally removed from the NCG by a chemical reaction. There is insignificant removal of the non-ionizable gases, CH<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>SSCH<sub>3</sub>.

When fresh caustic is used as the scrubbing medium it is recirculated to minimize chemical consumption.

When hot white liquor is used for scrubbing, it is normally used on a once-through basis to minimize changes to the liquor temperature and concentration, and to minimize fouling. The NCG is heated up, and must be sent to a gas cooler to reduce the gas volume and reduce condensation in the line following the scrubber.

To avoid the cooler, some mills have used cooled white liquor for scrubbing. However, the heat exchanger used to cool the white liquor is often subject to severe scaling.

Historically packed columns have been used for these scrubbers. Due to the fouling of the packing, particularly when the scrubbing medium is white liquor, spray columns have more recently been employed for this duty with minimal loss of efficiency.

Depending on the scrubbing media used and the NCG streams scrubbed, overall TRS removal efficiencies from as low as 40% to as high as 99% have been reported, although overall TRS removal of about 65% is typical.

## **HVLC NCG Systems**

Sources of HVLC NCG are brownstock washer hoods and seal tanks, knotter hoods, liquor storage tanks, brownstock storage tanks, slakers, mud filters, causticizers and black liquor oxidizers.

HVLC NCG is normally outside the explosive range due to low concentration of TRS (see point F on Fig. 1). HVLC NCG systems are typically designed to run at 25% of the LEL or less. HVLC NCG is normally collected and transported in a common pipeline and motivated with a fan. If the flow is low enough, it is still desirable to use an ejector. Typically an ejector is only practical on a partial system.

As with LVHC NCG, the total volume of HVLC NCG to be handled varies greatly. Flows of between 300 to 900 m<sup>3</sup> per ton (10,000 to 30,000 ft<sup>3</sup> per ton) of pulp are typical.

Brown stock washer hoods on vacuum drum washers are the largest single source of HVLC NCG. It is necessary to properly seal the washer hoods to the vats, and to train the operators to keep the inspection doors closed. Suppliers have developed better fitting hoods to keep the washer hood gases to a minimum. Design volumes for modern, low flow hoods are 1,700 m<sup>3</sup>/hr per drum or less.

Newer systems recirculate filtrate tank vents back to the washer hoods in order to reduce gas volume. Similarly, air for air doctors should be taken from the hood to avoid the additional ambient air in the system.

Pressure washers and diffusion washers have much lower volumes of gas to handle.

HVLC NCG collection from large, flat top storage tanks must be carefully designed. In most cases these tanks were not designed to take any pressure or vacuum. When they are tied into a collection system they will be subjected to the system vacuum. These sources often require air sweeps to protect the tankage, however the air sweeps add to the volume of gas to be collected.

As with LVHC NCG, these gases are normally collected at 60°C (140°F) or cooler. In instances where the HVLC NCG is hot and saturated with moisture, coolers are used to reduce their volume, moisture. This has the added benefit of minimizing any turpentine content upstream of the fan if it is present.

Heaters can be used to raise the dilute NCG above the saturation temperature, thus drying them out. Generally they are heated to a point where they are below 50% relative humidity. Having a dry gas is necessary if the gas will eventually go through mild steel equipment such as ducting at the incineration point.

Under no circumstances should LVHC NCG be added to HVLC NCG, especially a LVHC NCG stream that may contain appreciable turpentine vapor.

As in the case of LVHC NCG systems, the pipelines for HVLC NCG systems should be properly sloped and drained. A moisture separator should be installed upstream of the fan suction and near the incineration point. A flame arrester is typically included near the incineration point.

A few mills monitor the concentration of combustibles in the HVLC NCG system to ensure that the gases are always well below the LEL. If the combustible concentration rises above 50% of the LEL during system upsets, then the system is vented or shutdown until the problem is corrected.




Figure 5. HVLC System

Figure 5. HVLC System

A system for handling dilute NCG is depicted in Figure 5.


### Chip Bin Gas Systems

The gases from continuous digester chip bins are really HVLC NCG, however at times they can contain large amounts of turpentine, VOCs, and TRS. They are typically treated to remove combustible compounds before being transported to an incineration point. The chip bin gases are often handled as a separate stream from the LVHC NCG or HVLC NCG. Most often they are added to the HVLC NCG system after treatment, provided that the HVLC NCG flow is large enough to provide adequate dilution.

In the United States, the Environmental Regulations require the NCG from chip bins to be collected if flash steam is used for steaming. Collection is not required if fresh steam is used for steaming.

Collection of chip bin NCG (CBG) is illustrated in Figure 6. Chips are steamed in the chip bin to remove air before they enter the steaming vessel. This steam can drive volatile compounds, such as turpenes, out of the chips. This situation is aggravated when too much steam is used, or if the steam breaks through the chips due to low chip bin level.

Large quantities of turpentine vapor may be present in chip bin gas. One Kraft mill in the southern United States that pulps pine has reported recovering up to 1 kg of turpentine per ton (2 lb/ton) of pulp from the chip bin gas. Even when only terpene-free wood is being processed, the chip bin gases require treatment due to the excessive volume and high TRS content that may be experienced when steam “breaks through” the chip layer in the chip bin.



**Figure 6. Chip Bin System**


Proper treatment of chip bin gas includes cooling, condensing and scrubbing to remove as much turpentine as possible. A typical system will include a separator to remove chip fines, followed by an indirect cooler/condenser, followed by a packed column scrubber using cold water.

At temperatures as low as 30°C (87°F) and atmospheric pressure, the vapor pressure of the alpha-pinene fraction of turpentine is high enough to create an explosive mixture. Therefore, dilution air must be added to ensure a safe mixture is being transported. Fans should be avoided when the CBG is handled separately from the HVLC NCG system.

Once the chip bin gas has been cooled, scrubbed and diluted, it can be treated as any other HVLC NCG stream.

### Stripper Off-Gas (SOG) Systems

In most cases the stripper off-gas is removed as a mixture of 50% methanol and 50% water, by weight, at about 99°C (210°F). Due to the high temperature and water content of this stream, it must be transported as a separate LVHC NCG system (see Figure 7).



**Figure 7. Stripper Gas System**

The stripper system pressure is typically the motive force to deliver the gases to the incineration point. It is necessary to insulate and heat trace the SOG line in order to prevent condensation.

It is also necessary to steam purge the entire line to remove all air before any methanol rich gas is introduced. This is done by a steam makeup line.

Steam is added downstream of the stripper pressure control valve on flow control by measuring the flow at the incineration end of the line. This ensures a minimum velocity in the line during start-up, shutdown and low flow conditions. As the SOG is very concentrated and noxious, this avoids any venting of the gases during normal operation of the system.

### Burning NCG

In order for the TRS and HAPs in NCG to be properly destroyed by combustion, three conditions must be met. These are:

- Temperature of 870°C (1600°F)
- Residence time of 0.75 sec.
- Excess oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) content of 3-4%

These should be considered basic conditions, and if any of them are exceeded, the others can be reduced. That is, if the temperature is higher than 870°C (1600°F), then reducing retention time and/or lowering the excess oxygen content will still provide good destruction. For example, some new, high efficiency kilns are capable of meeting TRS emissions at excess oxygen content as low as 2%.

There are three places in a pulp mill where these conditions exist: the lime kiln, power boiler and recovery boiler. A dedicated separate incinerator can also be installed to destroy NCG.

### **Lime Kiln**

The lime kiln has traditionally been the first choice for burning NCG. It has the advantage that most of the SO<sub>2</sub> formed is absorbed on the lime mud and returned to the liquor cycle.

In many mills the lime kilns are overloaded and have low levels of excess O<sub>2</sub>. An overloaded kiln is less effective at TRS destruction.

Modern, high efficiency kilns are designed to run at about 0.5% excess oxygen. This is normally not enough O<sub>2</sub> to completely destroy TRS.

One drawback of using the kiln for TRS destruction is that, in some cases, burning NCG in the lime kiln has contributed to, or accelerated, formation of kiln rings. This is particularly true when the NCG is not burned in a steady manner and the mill experiences poor lime mud washing.

The LVHC NCG should be introduced into the kiln through a separate nozzle, mounted on the kiln hood, to minimize any effect on the main flame. This nozzle must be cooled in order to prevent pre-ignition of the gases while still in the nozzle. In addition, during NCG system shut down with the kiln operating, the cooling jacket prevents heat damage to the nozzle. Air, steam and water have all been successfully used as cooling media. NCG can also be injected through a dedicated port in the main fuel burner of the kiln, although this is not recommended.

The NCG absorbs light in the UV range and can give a false loss of flame signal if they pass in front of a UV flame detector. Thus the NCG nozzle should be placed well away from the flame detectors and at a location that minimizes any interaction with the main flame.

The HVLC NCG is not normally burned in the lime kiln due to its large volume. Smaller HVLC NCG flows may be successfully substituted for primary or secondary air, or added through a dedicated nozzle.

### **Power Boilers**

Boilers have become the most popular incineration point for burning NCG. Due to the relative size of the boiler it is a relatively trouble-free incineration point. However, burning NCG in a boiler will increase the boiler SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, creating another environmental concern.

Further, if the back end of the boiler is less than 160°C (320°F), then H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> acid precipitation can occur and severe corrosion may result.

Studies have shown that considerable amounts of SO<sub>2</sub> are absorbed on the ash from coal or bark, if either of these fuels is used in the boiler. This can reduce the SO<sub>2</sub> emitted from the burning of NCG.

The LVHC NCG is introduced into boilers through separate nozzles, similar to those used in kilns. In many cases existing ports can be used for the nozzles. In other cases it is necessary to bend some water-wall tubes in order to place the nozzles.

The NCG flow may be split into two or more parallel lines before entering the boiler. This is done to balance the flow of NCG into the boiler. However, if this is done, great care must be taken to ensure a balance of flow to each nozzle, in order to prevent flame backs due to low gas velocity at one nozzle. The HVLC NCG can be introduced into the boilers as part of the primary or secondary air, or if the flow is small enough, through a dedicated nozzle. Care must be taken when adding or removing the HVLC NCG flow to the boiler in order to prevent upsets in airflow to the boiler.

### **Recovery Boilers**

The recovery boiler is theoretically the best place to destroy NCG as the sulfur gases are destroyed and recovered as Na<sub>2</sub>S in the smelt. However, due to the nature of the recovery boiler and its importance in the recovery cycle, the potential for catastrophic explosion due to water entering the unit during operation, or explosive gas build-up during shut down, the recovery boiler has traditionally not been used for NCG incineration.

The Black Liquor Recovery Boiler Advisory Committee (BLRBAC) has recently published "Recommended Good Practice for the Thermal Oxidation of Waste Streams in a Black Liquor Recovery Boiler". It is available on the BLRBAC Website, [www.blrbac.com](http://www.blrbac.com). The guidelines outline separate methods for burning LVHC NCG and HVLC NCG in recovery boilers. For LVHC NCG this includes the use of a dedicated burner and burner management system with a pilot and auxiliary fuel. For HVLC NCG the guidelines specify that the gases be cooled to 43°C (110°F) to remove moisture and then reheated to at least 66°C (150°F) to result in a gas that is less than 50% relative humidity.

Several mills are now burning LVHC NCG and HVLC NCG in recovery boilers and there is a trend toward accepting this practice.

At a few locations, stripper off-gases are being condensed to a liquid and blended with the heavy black liquor to the recovery boiler.

## **Incinerators**

It is now quite common to burn NCG in a dedicated incinerator. The big advantage of the separate incinerator is that it takes the gases out of a piece of operating equipment and eliminates the complications associated with burning NCG in an operating unit in the mill. Most incinerators use conventional fossil fuel burners, although some are self-sustaining with the heating value of the waste gases being burned and do not require auxiliary fuel except at startup.

The major disadvantage of the incinerator is its high capital and operating cost. These units have been installed as both the primary and secondary incineration points. The trend is away from using the incinerator as the primary incineration point if it is a consumer of fossil fuel. Quick start-up units have been developed as secondary incineration points without the high consumption of auxiliary fuels.

Due to the SO<sub>2</sub> emission, in most cases the incinerator is followed by an SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber, adding further to the capital and operating costs.

Another byproduct of incineration is sulfuric acid mist (SAM) which can give high opacity. Some mills have successfully added additional control devices, such as wet electrostatic precipitators, to reduce SAM.

Recently, some mills have added package boilers to the incinerators to recover the heat from incineration as low pressure steam. The relatively slower cooling of the gases in the boiler results in higher sulfuric acid formation.

Stripper off-gas, due to its high MeOH content, has a very high fuel value and can be used as a primary fuel for incinerators. This reduces the incinerator operating cost by supplying most of the fuel requirement. However, SOG also contains ammonia which can increase NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the incinerators.

If the HVLC NCG flow is reasonably low, it may also be burned in the incinerator. In some cases it is substituted for combustion and cooling air to reduce fuel requirements.

## **Regenerative Thermal Oxidizers**

Regenerative Thermal Oxidizers (RTOs) are viable devices for incinerating HVLC NCG. These units generally have a much lower installation costs than other incineration alternatives. They also have very low operating costs and very high uptime. Several mills are successfully using RTOs to incinerate HVLC NCG.

## **Other Considerations**

Several other factors affect the choice of an incineration point for NCG. These are usually site specific. The age, size and operating condition of the locations available are important.

As a general rule, the higher the capacity of the location selected the better as the NCG will have less impact on the operation of the larger equipment.

The uptime of the equipment used to burn NCG is very important. A boiler or kiln that is off line frequently, or for long periods of time, is a poor choice. The Environmental Regulations in the United States require an uptime of 99% for burning LVHC NCG. It is virtually impossible to do this unless there are two points for NCG incineration.

The relative locations of the NCG sources and the point of incineration are also important. This affects the length, and hence the cost, of the pipe line required to carry the gases. Generally shorter piping runs are advantageous.

## **Impact**

Installation and operation of NCG systems has an impact on the rest of the mill operation. The largest impact usually comes from the digester blow heat recovery system.

It is necessary for the blow heat system to collect and condense all the blow steam. Consequently, steam and methanol that were previously vented are now recovered as hot foul condensates. The recovered heat must be reused or discarded.

Many mills are now using recovered blow heat to heat water or pre-evaporate black liquor, while others install cooling towers to remove the excess heat. Also, the methanol collected can increase the BOD load to the effluent treatment system forcing mills to add aeration capacity or install foul condensate stripping systems.

Capturing and burning the reduced sulfur gases in the kiln or recovery boiler, or scrubbing (with white liquor) the TRS gases or the SO<sub>2</sub> formed by incineration, will increase the liquor sulfidity. That may upset the mill sulfur balance, necessitating a change in mill makeup chemicals away from saltcake and toward caustic soda or sodium carbonate.

It may be necessary to update and improve the operation of the turpentine recovery system and the multiple effect evaporators in order to make them compatible for NCG collection. For instance, the practice of bleeding air into the evaporator vacuum system in order to control vacuum is not compatible with NCG collection.

Finally, the places where NCG is burned can no longer be looked upon only as production devices. They must also be considered pollution control devices. It is not uncommon for a mill to run a kiln or a boiler strictly for the purpose of burning NCG, even when the production capacity is not needed.

## **Conclusion**

In order to reduce odorous and hazardous air pollutants from Kraft pulp mills, environmental regulations require that noncondensable gases containing TRS and HAPs be collected and incinerated. These gases are very corrosive, highly toxic, and if mixed with air, potentially explosive.

Consequently, great care must be taken in the design, construction, operation and maintenance of these systems. Fortunately, the technology exists to build NCG systems that are safe, efficient and reliable.

## **Acknowledgement**

This paper was originally authored by Mr. Tom Burgess. He had been the instructor for the TAPPI Kraft Recovery Short course since 1986, before retiring in 2005.

## Bibliography

1. Burgess, T. L., Kjerulf, E.B., and Tenn, T.I., TAPPI, 67 (9):92 (1984)
2. Beckwith, W.F., TAPPI, 57 (12):147 (1974)
3. Beckwith, W.F., TAPPI, 58 (6):130 (1975)
4. Manufacturing Chemists Association, Chemical Safety Data Sheet SD-22, Methanol, July 1970
5. Manufacturing Chemists Association, Chemical Safety Data Sheet SD-36, Hydrogen Sulfide, February 1968
6. Perry, R.H., Chilton, C.H., and Kirkpatrick, S.D., Perry's Chemical Engineers Handbook, Fourth Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1963
7. Sax, N. I., Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, Fourth Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1975
8. NCASI Report "Special Technical Session on Ring Formation in Lime Kilns Burning Noncondensable Gases," NCASI, January 1989
9. Burgess, T.L., Chapter 3, Chemical Recovery in the Alkaline Pulping Processes, Second Edition, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1991
10. Burgess, T.L., and Young, R., Proceedings of 1992 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 1, p. 81, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1992
11. Someshwar, A.V., and Jain, A.K., TAPPI, 76 (7):179 (1993)
12. Wright, John M. and Lund, Grant Proceedings of 1994 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 1, p. 285, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1994
13. McIntyre, Charles, and Lin, Ben, Proceedings of 1994 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 1, p. 281, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1994
14. Trauffer, E. A., Proceedings of 1994 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 2, p. 979, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1994
15. Rogers, William H., and Wilbourn, Robert, Proceedings of 1996 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 2, p. 873, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1996
16. Maserejian, Z. Y., et al., Proceedings of 1995 TAPPI Environmental Conference, Book 2, p. 765, TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA, 1995.
17. Recommended Good Practice for the Thermal Oxidation of Waste Streams in a Black Liquor Recovery Boiler, Black Liquor Recovery Boiler Advisory Committee, October 1999.